

REPRESENTING BREDON COHOMOLOGY WITH LOCAL COEFFICIENTS BY CROSSED COMPLEXES AND PARAMETRIZED SPECTRA

SAMIK BASU AND DEBASIS SEN

ABSTRACT. For a discrete group G , we represent the Bredon cohomology with local coefficients as the homotopy classes of maps in the category of equivariant crossed complexes. Subsequently, we construct a naive parametrized G -spectrum, such that the cohomology theory defined by it reduces to the Bredon cohomology with local coefficients when restricted to suspension spectra of spaces.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let G be a discrete group. In [MM96, MS10] the authors introduced the notion of Bredon cohomology with local coefficients and constructed a representing G -space. Here we continue the study of this representability result.

In [Git63], Gitler showed that the cohomology groups of a space with local coefficients are representable in the homotopy category. The classifying space for the n -th cohomology group $H^n(X; \mathcal{L})$ of X with local coefficients $\mathcal{L}$ is the generalized Eilenberg-Mac Lane complex $L_\pi(A, n)$ [Git63], where $\mathcal{L}$ is given by an action of $\pi = \pi_1(X)$ on A . The space $L_\pi(A, q)$ appears as the total space of a fibration $L_\pi(A, q) \rightarrow K(\pi, 1)$. The fibration may be interpreted as an object of the slice category $\mathcal{Top}/K(\pi, 1)$, where $\mathcal{Top}$ denote the category of topological spaces and continuous maps. There is a canonical map $X \rightarrow \overline{W}\pi$, inducing identity on fundamental group, so that X can be viewed as in $\mathcal{Top}/K(\pi, 1)$. The classification theorem states that $H^n(X; \mathcal{L})$ is isomorphic to the homotopy classes of maps $[X, L_\pi(A, n)]_{\mathcal{Top}/K(\pi, 1)}$ in the slice category $\mathcal{Top}/K(\pi, 1)$.

This result was extended to Bredon cohomology with local coefficients in [MS10]. In this case, the representing space can be written using the construction of Elmendorf (see [Elm83]) which establishes an equivalence between the homotopy category of G -spaces and contravariant functors from the orbit category $\mathcal{O}_G$ to spaces. The main idea there was to use Gitler's result to construct the fixed points for each subgroup H of G and then use Elmendorf's construction to form the representing G -space.

Date: March 8, 2013.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 55N25, 55N91, 55P42; Secondary: 55P91, 55Q91, 55T99.

Key words and phrases. Crossed complexes, Bredon cohomology, local coefficients, parametrized spectra.

In this paper we write down this representability result in two ways, using crossed complexes and parametrized spectra respectively. The notion of crossed module of groups were introduced by J.H.C. Whitehead in [Whi49]. This was extended to crossed complex in [BH78] and studied further in [BGPT01], [BGPT97]. Crossed complexes encode the algebraic properties of the sequence $\{\pi_n(X^n, X^{n-1})\}_{n \geq 1}$ associated to a skelton filtration $X^0 \subset X^1 \subset \dots$ of a CW complex X . If X is a connected CW complex with $X^0 = *$, the crossed complex $\{\pi_n(X^n, X^{n-1})\}_{n \geq 1}$ can be thought as the cellular chains on a universal cover of X as a $\pi_1 X$ -equivariant chain complex. One might thus expect to recover cohomology with local coefficients as homotopy classes of maps in crossed complexes. In this paper we prove this representability result in Theorem 3.6, (compare [BH91, Proposition 4.9]).

We give a description of the representability result for Bredon cohomology with local coefficients as in [MS10] using equivariant crossed complexes. In this regard, we construct a series of equivariant crossed complexes $\chi_G(\mathcal{M}, n) \rightarrow \chi_G(\underline{\pi}, 1)$ arising from a equivariant local coefficients $\mathcal{M}$. We prove

Theorem A. For a G -space X and a $\underline{\pi}$ -module $\mathcal{M} = (M, \underline{\phi})$ with a map of $\underline{\alpha}: \underline{\pi}X \rightarrow \underline{\pi}$ of $\mathcal{O}_G$ -groups, the n -th Bredon cohomology group with local coefficients $H_G^n(X; M_{\underline{\phi}\underline{\alpha}})$ is isomorphic to the set $[\Pi_G(X), \chi_G(\mathcal{M}, n)]_{\chi_G(\underline{\pi}, 1)}^{\mathcal{O}_G\text{-}\mathcal{C}rs}$ of vertical homotopy classes of lifts of a canonical map $\theta(\underline{\alpha}): \Pi_G(X) \rightarrow \chi_G(\underline{\pi}, n)$.

(See Theorem 4.5 below.)

The idea of representing cohomology theories is best achieved by spectra in stable homotopy theory: the various topological spaces representing the different cohomology groups fit together to form a spectrum, which then represent the cohomology theory as a whole by a single object in the stable homotopy category. In the case of cohomology with local coefficients, the domain category consists of topological spaces X equipped with a homomorphism $\alpha: \pi_1 X \rightarrow \pi$, and thus with a map $X \rightarrow K(\pi, 1)$. We show that it can be represented by a parametrized spectrum, constructed using the classifying spaces of crossed complexes (see [BH91]).

Lastly, for a discrete group G , we combine the results of the non equivariant case using Elmendorf's construction. This is done in Section 6 where we construct an equivariant parametrized spectrum $J_G \mathcal{M}$ associated to a system of equivariant local coefficients $\mathcal{M}$, again by using the geometric realization of the nerve of the equivariant crossed complexes $\chi_G(\mathcal{M}, n)$. This is a naive G -spectrum (indexed over a trivial G -universe). This is to be expected for arbitrary coefficients systems, since one lacks the required transfer maps to index the spectrum over a complete G -universe.

Theorem B. For X and $\mathcal{M}$ as in Theorem A, we have the n -th Bredon cohomology group with local coefficients $H_G^n(X; M_{\underline{\phi}\underline{\alpha}})$ is isomorphic to the set of maps of

equivariant parametrized spectrum over the equivariant Eilenberg-Mac Lane G -space $K_G(\underline{\pi}, 1) [\Sigma_{K_G(\underline{\pi}, 1)}^\infty X_{+K_G(\underline{\pi}, 1)}, \Sigma_{K_G(\underline{\pi}, 1)}^n J_G \mathcal{M}]_{G, K_G(\underline{\pi}, 1)}$.

(See Theorem 6.3 below.)

1.1. Organization. The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review some basic definitions and results on crossed complexes. In Section 3 we construct universal crossed complexes and use them to represent cohomology of local coefficients in the category of crossed complexes. In Section 4, we extend this result to Bredon cohomology with local coefficients case. In Section 5, we construct the parametrized Ω -spectrum $J_\pi(A)$ and use the results of Section 3 to show that the associated cohomology theory is cohomology with local coefficients. Finally in Section 6 we construct a parameterized equivariant Ω -spectrum $J_G \mathcal{M}$ and prove an equivariant analogue.

1.2. Acknowledgements. We thank Prof. Goutam Mukherjee for many helpful discussions on this topic. We also thank Prof. David Blanc for taking the time to go through a draft of this paper and give a number of helpful suggestions to improve its presentation.

2. CROSSED COMPLEXES

Recall from [BH91] that a crossed complex is a chain complex of modules over a groupoid, but non-abelian in dimensions one and two. It encodes the algebraic properties of the sequence $\{\pi_n(X^n, X^{n-1}, p)_{p \in X_0}\}_{n=1}^\infty$ associated to a filtration $X^0 \subset X^1 \subset \dots$ of a topological space X .

2.1. Definition. A *crossed complex* $\mathcal{C}$ consists of a set C_0 , and groupoids C_n for $n \geq 1$ with the same object set C_0 . There are morphisms of groupoids $\delta_n : C_n \rightarrow C_{n-1}$ and an action of the groupoid C_1 on C_n for $n \geq 2$. This satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) For $n \geq 2$, C_n is a totally disconnected groupoid, that is, $C_n = \{C_n(x) : x \in C_0 = \text{ob}(C_n)\}$. The groups $C_n(x)$ are abelian if $n \geq 3$.
- (ii) For $n \geq 2$, we denote the action $C_n \times C_1 \rightarrow C_n$ by $(c, c_1) \mapsto c^{c_1}$. If $c \in C_n(x)$ and $c_1 \in C_1(x, y)$ only then is the map defined and $c^{c_1} \in C_n(y)$. The action of C_1 on itself is by conjugation.
- (iii) For $n \geq 2$ the morphism δ_n is identity on the set of objects and commutes with the action of C_1 . The composite $\delta_{n-1} \circ \delta_n$ is the map which takes all the morphisms to identity. The morphisms in the image of δ_2 act trivially on C_n for $n \geq 3$ and by conjugation on C_2 .

We write $s, t : C_1 \rightarrow C_0$ for the source and target map of the groupoid C_1 , and $t : C_n \rightarrow C_0$, $n \geq 2$, denotes the target, or the base point map, of the totally disconnected groupoid C_n .

2.2. *Definition.* A morphism of crossed complexes $f: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is a family of morphisms of groupoids $f_n: C_n \rightarrow D_n$, $n \geq 1$, all inducing the same map of objects $f_0: C_0 \rightarrow D_0$, such that $\delta_n f_n(c) = f_{n-1} \delta_n(c)$ and $f_n(c^{c_1}) = f_n(c)^{f_1(c_1)}$ for all $c \in C_n, c_1 \in C_1$, $n \geq 1$.

We denote the category of crossed complexes by $\mathcal{Crs}$.

2.3. *Examples.* Let $\{X^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a filtration of a topological space X . Then, we have a crossed complex $\Pi(X)$, defined as:

$$\Pi(X)_n = \begin{cases} X_0 & \text{if } n = 0 \\ \pi_1(X^1, X^0) & \text{if } n = 1 \\ \pi_n(X^n, X^{n-1}, X^0) & \text{if } n > 1 \end{cases}$$

Here $\pi_1(X^1, X^0)$ is the fundamental groupoid of X^1 on the set X^0 of base points, and $\pi_n(X^n, X^{n-1}, X_0)$ is the family of relative homotopy groups $\pi_n(X^n, X^{n-1}, p)$ for all $p \in X_0$. The groupoid $\pi_1(X^1, p)$ operates in the usual way on $\pi_n(X^n, X^{n-1}, p)$, which gives the $\pi_1(X^1, X^0)$ action on $\pi_n(X^n, X^{n-1}, X_0)$. The differential δ_n , $n \geq 3$, is the composite

$$\pi_n(X^n, X^{n-1}, p) \rightarrow \pi_{n-1}(X^{n-1}, p) \rightarrow \pi_{n-1}(X^{n-1}, X^{n-2}, p),$$

where the first map is the usual boundary of the pair (X^n, X^{n-1}) and the second map is induced by inclusion. The other differential $\delta_2: \pi_2(X^2, X^1, p) \rightarrow \pi_1(X^1, p)$ is the standard boundary map of the pair (X^2, X^1) . With these structures, $\Pi(X)$ is a crossed complex and called the *fundamental crossed complex* of the filtered topological space X .

If $X^0 = *$, then we simply write $\Pi(X)_n = \pi_n(X^n, X^{n-1})$. The category of $\mathbb{N}$ -filtered spaces, denoted by $\mathcal{FTop}$, has objects filtered topological spaces and morphisms continuous maps which respect the filtration. Then Π is a functor from $\mathcal{FTop}$ to $\mathcal{Crs}$. For a CW-complex X , the associated crossed complex is given by the skeleton filtration.

2.4. *Definition.* Let $f, g: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be maps between two crossed complexes. A *homotopy* from f to g , written as $\mathcal{H}: f \sim g$, is a pair $(\mathcal{H}, g)$ where $\mathcal{H}$ is a sequence of maps $\mathcal{H}_n: C_n \rightarrow D_{n+1}$, $n \geq 0$ which satisfy the following properties:

(a) For $c \in C_n$, $n \geq 0$,

$$\mathcal{H}_n(c) \in \begin{cases} D_1(f(c), g(c)) & \text{if } n = 0 \\ D_{n+1}(tg(c)) & \text{if } n \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

(b) If $c, c' \in C_n$ and cc' or $c + c'$ is defined according as $n = 1$ or $n \geq 2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_1(cc') &= \mathcal{H}_1(c)^{g(c)} \mathcal{H}_1(c') \\ \mathcal{H}_n(c + c') &= \mathcal{H}_n(c) + \mathcal{H}_n(c') \text{ if } n \geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

- (c) For $n \geq 2$, $\mathcal{H}_n$ preserves the action over g , i.e. if $c \in C_n$, $n \geq 2$, $c_1 \in C_1$, and c^{c_1} is defined, then $\mathcal{H}_n(c^{c_1}) = \mathcal{H}_n(c)^{g(c_1)}$.
- (d) The pair $(\mathcal{H}, g)$ determines the initial morphism f ; if $c \in C_n$, $n \geq 0$ then:

$$f(c) = \begin{cases} s\mathcal{H}_0(c) & \text{if } n = 0 \\ \mathcal{H}_0(sc)g(c)\delta_2\mathcal{H}_1(c)\mathcal{H}_0(tc)^{-1} & \text{if } n = 1 \\ \{g(c) + \mathcal{H}_{n-1}\delta_n(c) + \delta_{n+1}\mathcal{H}_n(c)\}^{\mathcal{H}_0(tc)^{-1}} & \text{if } n \geq 2 \end{cases}$$

The category $\mathcal{Crs}$ has a closed model structure (see [Qui67]) and the 'homotopy' relation is an equivalence relation on the set of morphisms of crossed complexes (see [BG89]).

2.5. Definition. A self homotopy $\mathcal{H}: f \sim f$ of a morphism $f: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ of crossed complexes is said to be *trivial* if it takes every element $\mathcal{H}_n(c)$ is the identity element of the group $C_{n+1}(tf(c))$ for $n \geq 1$ and every element $c \in C_0$ to the identity element of the group $C_1(f(c), f(c))$.

The category $\mathcal{Crs}$ of crossed complexes has internal hom of maps $\mathcal{CRS}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$, (cf. [BHS11] page. 277). The groupoid $\mathcal{CRS}_0(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ is defined to be the set $\mathcal{Crs}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ the set of crossed complex maps, $\mathcal{CRS}_1(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})(f, g)$ is the set of homotopies from f to g and $\mathcal{CRS}_m(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ comprises of m -fold homotopies from $\mathcal{C}$ to $\mathcal{D}$, defined as follows.

2.6. Definition. Let $m \geq 2$. An m -fold homotopy $\mathcal{H}$ from $\mathcal{C}$ to $\mathcal{D}$ over a morphism $f: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$, is given by maps $\mathcal{H}_n: C_n \rightarrow D_{n+m}$ for each $n \geq 0$ which satisfy:

- (a) For $n \geq 2$, if $c \in C_n$ and $c_1 \in C_1$,

$$\mathcal{H}_n(c^{c_1}) = \mathcal{H}_n(c)^{f_1(c_1)}$$

- (b) $\mathcal{H}_1$ is a derivation over f , ie if $c, c' \in C_n$ and $c + c'$ is defined then

$$\mathcal{H}_1(c + c') = \mathcal{H}_1(c)^{f_1(c')} + \mathcal{H}_1(c')$$

- (c) For $n \geq 2$ the $\mathcal{H}_n$ are morphisms, ie, if $c, c' \in C_n$ and $c + c'$ is defined then

$$\mathcal{H}_n(c + c') = \mathcal{H}_n(c) + \mathcal{H}_n(c').$$

We shall denote the category of simplicial sets and simplicial maps by $\mathcal{S}$. Given a simplicial set $K \in \mathcal{S}$ the geometric realization $\|K\|$ of K has a natural filtration of skeleta. Composing further with the functor Π we get a functor from $\mathcal{S}$ to $\mathcal{Crs}$. This is the fundamental crossed complex functor of simplicial sets which by abuse of notation we denote by Π .

Observe that the functor Π preserves colimits. Using this fact we can define a right adjoint of Π from crossed complexes to the pre-sheaf category of simplicial sets (cf. [BH91]) and call it the nerve of a crossed complex.

2.7. *Definition.* The *nerve functor* $N: \mathcal{Crs} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ is defined by

$$N^\Delta(\mathcal{C})_n := \mathcal{Crs}(\Pi(\Delta^n), \mathcal{C}),$$

where Δ^n is the standard topological n -simplex with standard cell structure and cellular filtration. The simplicial maps of $N^\Delta(\mathcal{C})$ is induced by the face and degeneracy maps of Δ^n .

The *classifying space* $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{C})$ of a crossed complex $\mathcal{C}$ is defined as $\|N^\Delta(\mathcal{C})\|$, the geometric realization of the simplicial set $N^\Delta(\mathcal{C})$. The following result appears in [BH91].

2.8. *Theorem.* If X is a CW-complex, and $\mathcal{C}$ is a crossed complex, then there is a weak homotopy equivalence

$$\eta: \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{Crs}(\Pi(X), \mathcal{C})) \rightarrow \mathcal{Top}(X, \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{C}))$$

and a bijection of sets of homotopy classes

$$[X, \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{C})]_{\mathcal{Top}} \cong [\Pi(X), \mathcal{C}]_{\mathcal{Crs}},$$

which is natural with respect to morphisms of $\mathcal{C}$ and cellular maps of X .

We can encode the entire information above in the following diagram of categories and adjoint functors.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Top} & \xrightleftharpoons{\|\cdot\|} & \mathcal{S} \\ \text{colim} \uparrow & \xrightarrow{\text{Sing}} & \uparrow \downarrow \Pi \\ \mathcal{FTop} & \xrightarrow{\Pi} & \mathcal{Crs} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \nearrow N^\Delta \\ \searrow \end{array}$$

3. COHOMOLOGY WITH LOCAL COEFFICIENTS AND CROSSED COMPLEXES

In this section we briefly recall the definition of cohomology with local coefficients. Then we construct universal crossed complexes associated to local coefficients and prove that they represent cohomology with local coefficients.

3.1. Cohomology with local coefficients. Let X be a connected space. A *local coefficients* on X is a pair $A_\gamma = (A, \gamma)$, where A is an abelian group and γ group homomorphism $\gamma: \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A)$. Often we call (A, γ) a $\pi_1(X)$ -module. Then $H^*(X; A_\gamma)$, the cohomology of X with local coefficients A_γ can be defined as follows (see [Whi78]): Let $p: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be the universal cover of X , with its usual right $\pi_1(X)$ -action obtained by identifying $\pi_1(X)$ with the group of covering transformations. Then the singular chain complex $S(\tilde{X})$ of the universal cover (with integer coefficients) is a right $\mathbb{Z}\pi_1(X)$ -module. Form the cochain complex of equivariant cochains

$$S^*(X; A_\gamma) := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}\pi_1(X)}(S^*(\tilde{X}), A).$$

3.1. *Definition.* The cohomology of the cochain complex $S^*(X; A_\gamma)$ is called the *cohomology of X with local coefficients A_γ* and is denoted by $H^*(X; A_\gamma)$.

If X is a CW-complex with skeletons $\{X^n\}$, then the universal cover $\tilde{X}$ has a canonical CW-structure with skeletons $\{\tilde{X}^n = p^{-1}(X^n)\}$ and the projections $p|_{\tilde{X}^n}: \tilde{X}^n \rightarrow X^n$, $n \geq 2$, are universal covering projections. The cells of $\tilde{X}$ are given by the path components of the inverse image of cells of X . In this case, the cellular cochain complex of $\tilde{X}$ can be used to define the cohomology with local coefficients.

3.2. **Universal crossed complexes.** Given a group π and a π -module (A, ϕ) , we construct universal crossed complexes $\chi_\phi(A, n)$, $\chi(\pi, n)$ together with maps of crossed complexes $p: \chi_\phi(A, n) \rightarrow \chi(\pi, 1)$ for each $n \geq 0$.

3.2. *Definition.* For a group π , the crossed complexes $\chi(\pi, n)$, $n \geq 1$, are defined by the formula:

$$\chi(\pi, n)_m = \begin{cases} \pi & \text{if } m = n \\ * & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The δ_i s and the action of $\chi(\pi, n)_1$ on $\chi(\pi, n)_m$ are obvious.

The crossed complex $\chi(\pi, 0)$ is defined by

$$\chi(\pi, 0)_m = \begin{cases} \pi & \text{if } m = 0 \\ \{id_x | x \in \pi\} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The maps δ_i and the actions of $\chi(\pi, 0)_1$ are automatically fixed.

The classifying space $\mathfrak{B}\chi(\pi, n) = \|N^\Delta(\chi(\pi, n))\|$ is the Eilenberg-Mac Lane space $K(\pi, n)$.

3.3. *Definition.* For a π -module (A, ϕ) , we define crossed complexes $\chi_\phi(A, n)$, $n \geq 0$ over $\chi(\pi, 1)$ as follows:

- For $n \geq 2$ the crossed complex $\chi_\phi(A, n)$ is defined by:

$$\chi_\phi(A, n)_m = \begin{cases} \pi & \text{if } m = 1 \\ A & \text{if } m = n \\ * & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The δ_i are all trivial and the action of π on A is given by ϕ . Note that we have a canonical map $p: \chi_\phi(A, n) \rightarrow \chi(\pi, 1)$, which is the identity on the first level and trivial on all the other levels.

- The crossed complex $\chi_\phi(A, 1)$ is defined using the equation

$$\chi_\phi(A, 1)_m = \begin{cases} \pi \ltimes A & \text{if } m = 1 \\ * & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

In this case the map $p: \chi_\phi(A, 1) \rightarrow \chi(\pi, 1)$ is the projection at the first level.

- To define $\chi_\phi(\pi, 0)$, we first note definition of $Gpd(G, M)$, a groupoid associated to a group action of G on a set M . It has M as the set of objects and $g \in G$ is a morphism from $m \in M$ to $m' \in M$ if $gm = m'$. There is a functor from $Gpd(G, M)$ to G (considered as groupoid with one object). The crossed complex $\chi_\phi(A, 0)$ is defined by

$$\chi_\phi(A, 0)_m = \begin{cases} A & \text{if } m = 0 \\ Gpd(\pi, A) & \text{if } m = 1 \\ \chi(A, 0)_m & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The maps δ_i and the action is automatically fixed. The map to $\chi(\pi, 1)$ is trivial on all dimensions except at 1 where it is the map between groupoids described above.

The classifying space $\mathfrak{B}(\chi_\phi(A, n)) = \|N^\Delta(\chi_\phi(A, n))\|$ is the generalized Eilenberg-Mac Lane space $L_\pi(A, n)$, which is the representing space for cohomology with local coefficients (see [Git63, Hir79, BFGM03, GJ99]).

3.4. Remark. Suppose (A, ϕ) is a π -module, (A', ϕ') is a π' -module and $\alpha: \pi \rightarrow \pi'$ is a group homomorphism. Let $\rho: A \rightarrow A'$ be a π -module homomorphism, where we view A' as π -module via α . Then we have naturally induced maps of crossed complexes

$$\rho_*: \chi_\phi(A, n) \rightarrow \chi_{\phi'}(A', n); \quad \alpha_*: \chi(\pi, 1) \rightarrow \chi(\pi', 1),$$

such that $\alpha_* \circ \rho = \rho' \circ \rho_*$.

3.3. Representing cohomology with local coefficients. Fix a group π and a π -module (A, ϕ) . Let $\mathcal{L}_\pi$ be the category whose objects are pairs (X, α) , where X is a reduced CW-complex and $\alpha: \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \pi$ is a group homomorphism. A morphism $f: (X, \alpha) \rightarrow (Y, \beta)$ is a cellular map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ such that $\alpha = \beta \circ f_*$, where $f_*: \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y)$ is the induced map. Note that, for $(X, \alpha) \in \mathcal{L}_\pi$ we have a system of local coefficients $A_{\phi\alpha}$ on X . Further, we have a canonical map of crossed complexes $\theta_\alpha: \Pi(X) \rightarrow \chi(\pi, 1)$, obtained by composing the quotient map $\pi_1(X^{(1)}) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)$ with α .

Let $\{\Pi(X), \chi_\phi(A, n)\}_{\chi(\pi, 1)}$ be the set of lifts of the map θ_α :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \chi_\phi(A, n) \\ & \nearrow & \downarrow p \\ \Pi(X) & \xrightarrow{\theta_\alpha} & \chi(\pi, 1) \end{array}$$

3.5. Definition. Suppose $f, g \in \{\Pi(X), \chi_\phi(A, n)\}_{\chi(\pi, 1)}$. Then f and g are said to be *vertically homotopic* if there is a homotopy $\mathcal{H}: f \simeq g$ of maps of crossed complexes

(cf. Definition 2.4) such that $p \circ \mathcal{H}: \Pi(X) \rightarrow \chi(\pi, 1)$ is the trivial homotopy of the map θ_α (cf. Definition 2.5).

As mentioned earlier, the category $\mathcal{Crs}$ of crossed complexes has a closed model structure (cf. [BG89]). Moreover, if U is an object of a closed model category $\mathcal{U}$, then the category $\mathcal{U} \downarrow U$, the category of objects over U has a closed model structure, induced from that of $\mathcal{U}$ (cf. page 330 [GJ99]). In particular, the category $\mathcal{Crs} \downarrow \chi(\pi, 1)$ of objects over $\chi(\pi, 1)$ has a closed model structure. Consequently, the vertical homotopy of liftings of $\theta(\alpha)$ to $\chi_\phi(A, n)$, viewed as abstract homotopy of morphisms of $\mathcal{Crs} \downarrow \chi(\pi, 1)$, is an equivalence relation. We denote the corresponding vertical homotopy classes by $[\Pi(X), \chi_\phi(A, n)]_{\chi(\pi, 1)}$.

3.6. Theorem. *With notation as above, for $(X, \alpha) \in \mathcal{L}_\pi$, the cohomology with local coefficients $H^n(X; A_{\phi\alpha})$ is isomorphic to*

$$H^n(X; A_{\phi\alpha}) \cong [\Pi(X), \chi_\phi(A, n)]_{\chi(\pi, 1)},$$

where the right hand side denotes the vertical homotopy classes of lifts of θ_α .

Proof. We prove the theorem separately for $n = 0, 1, 2$ and for $n > 2$. In the different cases of the proof we will show that $\{\Pi(X), \chi_\phi(A, n)\}_{\chi(\pi, 1)}$ is same as n -cocycles of the cochain complex $S^*(X; A_{\phi\alpha})$ (cf. Definition 3.1) and two lifts are vertically homotopic if and only if the corresponding cocycles differ by a coboundary.

Case I, $n \geq 3$: First we prove the result for $n \geq 3$. We show that the set $\{\Pi(X), \chi_\phi(A, n)\}_{\chi(\pi, 1)}$ is in one-to-one correspondence with the n -cocycles of the cochain complex $S^*(X; A_{\phi\alpha})$. Note that, a map $f \in \{\Pi(X), \chi_\phi(A, n)\}_{\chi(\pi, 1)}$ determines and is determined by a $\pi_1(X)$ -module map

$$\hat{f}: \pi_n(X^n, X^{n-1}) \rightarrow A,$$

such that $\hat{f} \circ \delta_{n+1}: \pi_{n+1}(X^{n+1}, X^n) \rightarrow A$ is the zero map. The covering projection induces isomorphism $p_*: \pi_n(\tilde{X}^n, \tilde{X}^{n-1}) \rightarrow \pi_n(X^n, X^{n-1})$. Further, since the pair $(\tilde{X}^n, \tilde{X}^{n-1})$ is $(n-1)$ -connected, we have $H_n(\tilde{X}^n, \tilde{X}^{n-1}) \cong \pi_n(\tilde{X}^n, \tilde{X}^{n-1})$ by Hurewicz Theorem and the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H_{n+1}(\tilde{X}^{n+1}, \tilde{X}^n) & \xleftarrow{\cong} & \pi_{n+1}(\tilde{X}^{n+1}, \tilde{X}^n) & \xrightarrow{p_*} & \pi_{n+1}(X^{n+1}, X^n) \\ \partial \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \delta \downarrow \\ H_n(\tilde{X}^n, \tilde{X}^{n-1}) & \xleftarrow{\cong} & \pi_n(\tilde{X}^n, \tilde{X}^{n-1}) & \xrightarrow{p_*} & \pi_n(X^n, X^{n-1}). \end{array}$$

As mentioned in 3.1, we can use the cellular chain complex $\{H_n(\tilde{X}^n, \tilde{X}^{n-1}), \partial\}$ of the universal cover $\tilde{X}$ for the definition of cohomology with local coefficients. Hence, identifying $\pi_n(X^n, X^{n-1})$ with $H_n(\tilde{X}^n, \tilde{X}^{n-1})$, we see that $\hat{f}$ is a n -cocycle of the cochain complex $S^*(X; A_{\phi\alpha})$.

Let $f, g \in \{\Pi(X), \chi_\phi(A, n)\}_{\chi(\pi, 1)}$ and $\mathcal{H}: f \sim g$ be a vertical homotopy (cf. Definition 3.5).

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \pi_{n+1}(X^{n+1}, X^n) & \xrightarrow{\delta_{n+1}} & \pi_n(X^n, X^{n-1}) & \xrightarrow{\delta_n} & \pi_{n-1}(X^{n-1}, X^{n-2}) \\
 \downarrow & \nearrow \mathcal{H}_n & \downarrow \hat{f} \downarrow \hat{g} & \nwarrow \mathcal{H}_{n-1} & \downarrow \\
 0 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & A & \xrightarrow{\quad} & 0
 \end{array}$$

Hence $\mathcal{H}_0(tc)$ is the identity e of π . So we get from Definition 2.4,

$$f(c) - g(c) = \mathcal{H}_{n-1}(\delta_n(c)).$$

The map $\mathcal{H}_{n-1} : \pi_{n-1}(X^{n-1}, X^{n-2}) = H_{n-1}(\tilde{X}^{n-1}, \tilde{X}^{n-2}) \rightarrow A$ is a group homomorphism, equivariant with respect to $\pi_1(X)$ -actions and hence is a $(n-1)$ -cocycle. Thus $f, g \in \{\Pi(X), \chi_\phi(A, n)\}_{\chi(\pi, 1)}$ are vertically homotopic if and only if $\hat{f} - \hat{g}$ is a coboundary. Hence,

$$H^n(X; A_{\phi\alpha}) \cong [\Pi(X), \chi_\phi(A, n)]_{\chi(\pi, 1)}, \text{ for } n \geq 3.$$

Case II, n=2: The $n = 2$ case differs slightly from $n > 2$ situation. Here the Hurewicz map $\rho: \pi_2(\tilde{X}^2, \tilde{X}^1) \rightarrow H_2(\tilde{X}^2, \tilde{X}^1)$ is no longer an isomorphism, but an epimorphism with kernel the commutator subgroup of $\pi_2(\tilde{X}^2, \tilde{X}^1)$. But, $p_*: \pi_2(\tilde{X}^2, \tilde{X}^1) \rightarrow \pi_2(X^2, X^1)$ is still an isomorphism. Therefore, $H_2(\tilde{X}^2, \tilde{X}^1) \cong \pi_2^s(X^2, X^1)$, where $\pi_2^s(X^2, X^1)$ denotes the abelianization of $\pi_2(X^2, X^1)$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \pi_3(X^3, X^2) & \xrightarrow{\delta_3} & \pi_2(X^2, X^1) & \xrightarrow{\delta_2} & \pi_1(X^1, *) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \hat{f} & & \downarrow f_1 \\
 0 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & A & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \pi \\
 & & & 0 &
 \end{array}$$

Since, $\hat{f}$ is a homomorphism into an abelian group, it factors through $\pi_2^s(X^2, X^1)$ and hence give a 2-cocycle of $H^2(X; A_{\phi\alpha})$. As, in the case $n > 2$, two lifts are vertically homotopic if and only if the corresponding cocycles differ by a coboundary. (Note that, $f_1\delta_2 = 0$ does not give us any condition. This is automatic, as the map $f_1 = \theta_\alpha$ factors through $\pi_1(X)$ and hence it has to vanish on $\text{Im}(\delta_2)$.)

Case III, n=0,1: Now we consider the cases $n = 0, 1$. Note that the first Postnikov section map $X \rightarrow K(\pi_1(X), 1)$ is 1-connected. Hence $H^i(X; A_{\phi\alpha}) \cong H^i(K(\pi_1(X), 1); A_{\phi\alpha})$ for $i = 0, 1$, so we have

$$H^0(X; A_{\phi\alpha}) = A^{\pi_1(X)}, \quad H^1(X; A_{\phi\alpha}) = \text{Der}(\pi_1(X), A) / \text{PDer}(\pi_1(X), A).$$

For a $\pi_1(X)$ -module A , $\text{Der}(\pi_1(X), A)$ is the set of all functions $D: \pi_1(X) \rightarrow A$ such that $D(xy) = D(x) + xD(y)$, $\forall x, y \in \pi_1(X)$ and $\text{PDer}(\pi_1(X), A)$ is the set of all functions $D_a: \pi_1(X) \rightarrow A$ of the form $D_a(x) = xa - a$ for fixed $a \in A$. The set

$\text{Der}(\pi_1(X), A)$ forms an abelian group under point-wise addition and $\text{PDer}(\pi_1(X), A)$ is a subgroup.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \pi_2(X^2, X^1) & \xrightarrow{\delta_2} & \pi_1(X^1) & \xrightarrow{s} & * \\ \downarrow & \nearrow \mathcal{H}_1 & \downarrow \hat{f} & \nwarrow \mathcal{H}_0 & \downarrow \\ 0 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \pi \ltimes A & \xrightarrow{s} & * \end{array}$$

Given a map $f \in \{\Pi(X), \chi_\phi(A, 1)\}_{\chi(\pi, 1)}$, we have a group homomorphism $\hat{f}: \pi_1(X^1, *) \rightarrow \pi \ltimes A$ which is trivial on $\text{Im}(\delta_2)$. This follows due to commutativity of the left box of the above diagram. Therefore, $\hat{f}$ factors through the quotient map $\pi_1(X^1) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)$. By abuse of notations, we denote this factored map $\pi_1(X) \rightarrow \pi \ltimes A$ again by $\hat{f}$. Since f is a lift of θ_α , $\hat{f}$ is of the form $\hat{f}(x) = (\alpha(x), D(x))$ for some function $D: \pi_1(X) \rightarrow A$. Now, $\hat{f}(xy) = \hat{f}(x)\hat{f}(y)$ implies that $D(xy) = D(x) + \alpha(x)D(y)$. Thus, f is completely determined by a derivation D of the $\pi_1(X)$ -module A . If $f, g \in \{\Pi(X), \chi_\phi(A, 1)\}_{\chi(\pi, 1)}$ are vertically homotopic under $\mathcal{H}: f \sim g$, then we have

$$\delta_2(\mathcal{H}_1(x)) = g(x)^{-1}\mathcal{H}_0(sx)^{-1}f(x)\mathcal{H}_0(tx), \quad x \in \pi_1(X^1).$$

Clearly, $\mathcal{H}_1$ is the zero map and $tx = sx$, as X has only one 0-simplex. Further, $\mathcal{H}_0(*) = (e, a)$ for some $a \in A$, e being the identity of π , since $\mathcal{H}$ is a vertical homotopy. Therefore, we obtain $g(x) = (e, a)^{-1}f(x)(e, a)$. Since f is a lift of θ_α , we have $f(x) = (\alpha(x), b)$ so that $\hat{f}(x) = b$. Hence, $g(x) = (y, -b + a + \alpha(x)b)$ i.e $\hat{g}(x) = -a + b + \alpha(x)a$. So $\hat{g}(x) - \hat{f}(x) = \alpha(x)a - a$. This shows that f, g are vertically homotopic if and only if $\hat{f}, \hat{g}$ differ by an element of $\text{PDer}(\pi_1(X), A)$. Hence,

$$H^1(X; A_{\phi\alpha}) \cong \text{Der}(\pi_1(X), A) / \text{PDer}(\pi_1(X), A) \cong [\Pi(X), \chi_\phi(A, 1)]_{\chi(\pi, 1)}.$$

Finally, observe that

$$[\Pi(X), \chi_\phi(A, 0)]_{\chi(\pi, 1)} = \{\Pi(X), \chi_\phi(A, 0)\}_{\chi(\pi, 1)},$$

and a map $f \in \{\Pi(X), \chi_\phi(A, 0)\}_{\chi(\pi, 1)}$ is determined by choosing a point $f(*) \in A^{\pi_1(X)}$, due to the commutativity of the following diagram of maps of groupoids:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_1(X) & \xrightarrow{s} & * \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ \text{Gpd}(\pi, A) & \xrightarrow{s} & A. \end{array}$$

This shows that $[\Pi(X), \chi_\phi(A, 0)]_{\chi(\pi, 1)} = A^{\pi_1(X)}$. □

4. BREDON COHOMOLOGY WITH LOCAL COEFFICIENTS AND CROSSED COMPLEXES

In this section we formulate an equivariant version of Theorem 3.6. In order to define Bredon cohomology with local coefficients, we recall the following.

Let G be a discrete group. The *orbit category* $\mathcal{O}_G$ of the group G is a category whose objects are left cosets $G/H = \{gH \mid g \in G\}$, as H runs over the all subgroups of G . The group G acts on the set G/H by left translation. A morphism from G/H to G/K is a G -map. Note that a subconjugacy relation $a^{-1}Ha \subseteq K$, $a \in G$, determines a G -map $\hat{a}: G/H \rightarrow G/K$, given by $\hat{a}(eH) = aK$. Conversely, any G -map from G/H to G/K is of this form (cf. [Bre67]). A contravariant functor from $\mathcal{O}_G$ to $\mathcal{T}op$ is called an $\mathcal{O}_G$ -space. A map between $\mathcal{O}_G$ -spaces is a natural transformation of functors. The category of $\mathcal{O}_G$ -spaces is denoted by $\mathcal{O}_G\text{-}\mathcal{T}op$. The notion of $\mathcal{O}_G$ -groups or *abelian $\mathcal{O}_G$ -groups* has the obvious meaning replacing $\mathcal{T}op$ by $\mathcal{G}rp$ or $\mathcal{A}b$. Similarly, we can talk of $\mathcal{O}_G$ -chain complexes or $\mathcal{O}_G$ -crossed complexes. For a G -space X , we have an $\mathcal{O}_G$ -space ΦX , defined by,

$$\Phi X(G/H) = X^H = \{x \in X \mid gx = x \ \forall \ g \in H\}$$

for each object G/H of $\mathcal{O}_G$ and $\Phi X(\hat{a})(x) = ax$, $x \in X^K$, for morphism $\hat{a}: G/H \rightarrow G/K$ of $\mathcal{O}_G$. Thus we have a functor $\Phi: G\text{-}\mathcal{T}op \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_G\text{-}\mathcal{T}op$

For a G -space X , with a G -fixed point v , we have an $\mathcal{O}_G$ -group $\underline{\pi}X$ defined as follows. For any subgroup H of G ,

$$\underline{\pi}X(G/H) := \pi_1(X^H, v),$$

and for a morphism $\hat{a}: G/H \rightarrow G/K$, $a^{-1}Ha \subseteq K$, $\underline{\pi}X(\hat{a})$ is the homomorphism in the fundamental groups induced by the map $a: X^K \rightarrow X^H$. We shall denote the composite functor $\Pi \circ \Phi: G\text{-}\mathcal{T}op \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_G\text{-}\mathcal{C}rs$ by Π_G . Thus $\Pi_G(X)(G/H) = \Pi(X^H)$.

4.1. Definition. Let $E, E' \in \mathcal{O}_G\text{-}\mathcal{C}rs$. Two maps of $\mathcal{O}_G$ -crossed complexes $u = \{v_n\}, v = \{w_n\}: E \rightarrow E'$ are said to be *homotopic* if there exist natural transformations

$$\mathcal{H}_n: v_n \rightarrow w_{n+1}, \ n \geq 0,$$

such that $\{\mathcal{H}_n(G/H)\}_{n \geq 0}$ is a homotopy of maps of crossed complexes $v(G/H), w(G/H)$ for each subgroup H of G . We denote $\{\mathcal{H}_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ by $\mathcal{H}: v \simeq w$.

4.2. Definition. An $\mathcal{O}_G$ -group $\underline{\pi}$ is said to *act on* an $\mathcal{O}_G$ -space ($\mathcal{O}_G$ -group or abelian $\mathcal{O}_G$ -group) T if we have a natural transformation $\underline{\phi}: \underline{\pi} \times T \rightarrow T$ such that

$$\underline{\phi}(G/H): \underline{\pi}(G/H) \times T(G/H) \rightarrow T(G/H)$$

is an action of the group $\pi(G/H)$ on $T(G/H)$ for each subgroup H of G . If π acts on an abelian $\mathcal{O}_G$ -group T , then we call T a π -module, and denote it by (T, ϕ) . A system of equivariant local coefficients on a G -space X is a πX -module $\mathcal{M} = (M, \phi)$

4.1. Bredon cohomology with local coefficients. In this section we assume our G -spaces X have the property that the fixed point set X^H is connected, locally path connected, and semi-locally simply connected for each subgroup $H \leq G$. For example, X may be a G -connected G -CW-complex (i.e. a CW-complex with cellular G -action such that X^H is connected for all $H \leq G$). If X is a G -CW-complex, then all the fixed point sets X^H are CW-complexes (see [tD87]).

For a subgroup H of G , let $p_H: \widetilde{X^H} \rightarrow X^H$ be the universal cover of X^H . The left translation $a: X^K \rightarrow X^H$, corresponding to a G -map $\hat{a}: G/H \rightarrow G/K$, $a^{-1}Ha \subseteq K$, induces a continuous map $\tilde{a}: \widetilde{X^K} \rightarrow \widetilde{X^H}$, such that $p_H \circ \tilde{a} = a \circ p_K$.

4.3. Definition. The $\mathcal{O}_G$ -space $\tilde{X}$, defined by $\tilde{X}(G/H) = \widetilde{X^H}$ and $\tilde{X}(\hat{a}) = \tilde{a}$, is called the *universal $\mathcal{O}_G$ -covering space* of X .

A more general version, called ‘universal covering functor’ was introduced by W. Lück in [Lüc89]. For $v \in X^G$, the natural actions of $\pi X(G/H) = \pi_1(X^H, v)$ on $\tilde{X}(G/H) = \widetilde{X^H}$ as H varies over subgroups of G , define an action of the $\mathcal{O}_G$ -group πX on the $\mathcal{O}_G$ -space $\tilde{X}$.

The Bredon cohomology of X with local coefficients (M, ϕ) can be described as follows (see [MM96, MS10]): we have a chain complex $\{\underline{C}_n(\tilde{X}), \underline{\partial}_n\}$ in the abelian category $\mathcal{O}_G\text{-Abgp}$, defined by

$$\underline{C}_n(\tilde{X})(G/H) := C_n(\tilde{X^H}; \mathbb{Z})$$

for every object G/H and for every morphism $\hat{a}: G/H \rightarrow G/K$, $a^{-1}Ha \subseteq K$, $\underline{C}_n(\hat{a}) := \tilde{a}_\# : C_n(\tilde{X^K}; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow C_n(\tilde{X^H}; \mathbb{Z})$, where $C_n(\tilde{X^H}; \mathbb{Z})$ denotes the free abelian group generated by the singular n -simplexes in $\tilde{X^H}$. The boundary map $\underline{\partial}_n: \underline{C}_n(\tilde{X}) \rightarrow \underline{C}_{n-1}(\tilde{X})$ is the natural transformation, defined by $\underline{\partial}_n(G/H) := \partial_n$ where the map $\partial_n: C_n(\tilde{X^H}; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow C_{n-1}(\tilde{X^H}; \mathbb{Z})$ is the ordinary boundary map. Note that the $\mathcal{O}_G$ -group πX acts on the $\mathcal{O}_G$ -chain complex $\{\underline{C}_n(\tilde{X}), \underline{\partial}_n\}$ via its action on $\tilde{X}$. We now form the cochain complex $\{\text{Hom}_{\pi X}(\underline{C}_n(\tilde{X}), M), \delta^n\}$, where $\text{Hom}_{\pi X}(\underline{C}_n(\tilde{X}), M)$ consists of all natural transformations $\underline{C}_n(\tilde{X}) \rightarrow M$ respecting the actions of πX and $\delta^n f = f \circ \partial_{n+1}$. Then the n^{th} Bredon cohomology group of X with coefficients in (M, ϕ) is defined to be

$$H_G^n(X; M_\phi) := H^n(\text{Hom}_{\pi X}(\underline{C}_*(\tilde{X}), M)).$$

Let $\underline{\pi}$ be an $\mathcal{O}_G$ -group and $\mathcal{M} = (M, \underline{\phi})$ be an $\underline{\pi}$ -module. Then we have $\mathcal{O}_G$ -crossed complexes $\chi_G(\underline{\pi}, n)$ and $\chi_G(\mathcal{M}, n)$, defined by

$$\chi_G(\underline{\pi}, n)(G/H) := \chi(\underline{\pi}(G/H), n); \quad \chi_G(\mathcal{M}, n)(G/H) := \chi_{\underline{\phi}(G/H)}(M(G/H), n),$$

since by Remark 3.4 any map $\hat{a}: G/H \rightarrow G/K$, induces maps $M(\hat{a})_*: \chi(\underline{\pi}(G/K), n) \rightarrow \chi(\underline{\pi}(G/H), n)$, $\underline{\pi}(\hat{a})_*: \chi(\underline{\pi}(G/K), 1) \rightarrow \chi(\underline{\pi}(G/H), 1)$. Also, we have a map

$$p: \chi_G(\mathcal{M}, n) \rightarrow \chi_G(\underline{\pi}, n)$$

of $\mathcal{O}_G$ -Crs, where $p(G/H): \chi_{\underline{\phi}(G/H)}(M(G/H), n) \rightarrow \chi(\underline{\pi}(G/H), n)$ is defined in Section 3.2.

Let $\mathcal{L}_{\underline{\pi}}$ be the category of based G -spaces together with a map of $\mathcal{O}_G$ -groups $\underline{\alpha}: \underline{\pi}X \rightarrow \underline{\pi}$ with morphisms based G -maps $f: X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying obvious compatibility. Such a map $\underline{\alpha}$ induces a map of $\mathcal{O}_G$ -crossed complexes $\theta(\underline{\alpha}): \Pi_G X \rightarrow \chi_G(\underline{\pi}, n)$, where $\theta(\underline{\alpha})(G/H) = \theta_{\underline{\alpha}(G/H)}$ as defined in Section 3.3. Let $\{\Pi_G(X), \chi_G(\mathcal{M}, n)\}_{\chi_G(\underline{\pi}, 1)}^{\mathcal{O}_G\text{-Crs}}$ be the set of lifts of the map $\theta(\underline{\alpha})$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \chi_G(\mathcal{M}, n) \\ & \nearrow & \downarrow p \\ \Pi_G(X) & \xrightarrow{\theta(\underline{\alpha})} & \chi_G(\underline{\pi}, 1) \end{array}$$

4.4. Definition. Two maps $f, g \in \{\Pi_G(X), \chi_G(\mathcal{M}, n)\}_{\chi_G(\underline{\pi}, 1)}^{\mathcal{O}_G\text{-Crs}}$ are said to be *vertically homotopic* if there is a homotopy $\mathcal{H}: f \simeq g$ of maps of $\mathcal{O}_G$ -crossed complexes (cf. Definition 4.1) such that $p(G/H) \circ \mathcal{H}(G/H): \Pi(X^H) \rightarrow \chi(\underline{\pi}(G/H), 1)$ is the trivial homotopy (cf. Definition 2.5) of the map $\theta_{\underline{\alpha}(G/H)}$.

4.5. Theorem. With notation as above, for $(X, \underline{\alpha}) \in \mathcal{L}_{\underline{\pi}}$ and a $\underline{\pi}$ -module $\mathcal{M} = (M, \underline{\phi})$, the Bredon cohomology with local coefficients $H_G^n(X; M_{\underline{\phi}\underline{\alpha}})$ is isomorphic to

$$H_G^n(X; M_{\underline{\phi}\underline{\alpha}}) \cong [\Pi_G(X), \chi_G(\mathcal{M}, n)]_{\chi_G(\underline{\pi}, 1)}^{\mathcal{O}_G\text{-Crs}},$$

where the right hand side denotes the vertical homotopy classes of lifts of $\theta(\underline{\alpha})$.

Proof. The proof is similar to the non-equivariant Theorem 3.6. The correspondence can be described by associating $f \in \{\Pi_G(X), \chi_G(\mathcal{M}, n)\}_{\chi_G(\underline{\pi}, 1)}^{\mathcal{O}_G\text{-Crs}}$, the n -cocycle of Bredon cohomology with local coefficients $\{f(G/H)_n\}_{H \leq G}$. $\square$

5. REPRESENTABILITY OF COHOMOLOGY WITH LOCAL COEFFICIENTS AS A PARAMETRIZED SPECTRUM

In this section we use Theorem 3.6 to represent the cohomology with local coefficients using parametrized spectra. Following [MS06] we construct an Ω -prespectrum $J_\pi A$ over the parameter space $K(\pi, 1)$ associated to an π -module A , and prove that

the cohomology theory on the category of spaces over $K(\pi, 1)$ associated to this spectrum is the cohomology with local coefficients. We use the classifying space functor $\mathfrak{B}$ from crossed complexes to spaces to define this parametrized spectrum. Recall the definition of parametrized spectra following [MS06].

We work in the category of compactly generated weak Hausdorff spaces.

5.1. Definition. An *ex-space* over B is a triple (X, p, s) where X is a topological space and $B \xrightarrow{s} X \xrightarrow{p} B$ such that $p \circ s = id$.

A morphism of ex-spaces $(X, p, s) \xrightarrow{f} (Y, p', s')$ is a map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $p' \circ f = p$ and $f \circ s = s'$.

We denote category of all ex-spaces over the space B by $\mathcal{T}_B$. The category $\mathcal{T}_B$ is enriched over topological spaces and has all colimits and limits. There is a fibrewise smash product $\wedge_B$ and a fibrewise mapping space F_B of ex-spaces, and these are adjoint. We recall the relevant definitions briefly (see [MS06] for more details).

5.2. Definition. Let $X \xrightarrow{p} B$ and $Y \xrightarrow{p'} B$ be spaces over B .

- The pullback of $X \xrightarrow{p} B \xleftarrow{p'} Y$ is denoted by $X \times_B Y$. It has an evident map to B .
- The pullback of $B \rightarrow \text{Map}(X, B) \xleftarrow{p'^*} \text{Map}(X, Y)$ is denoted by $\text{Map}_B(X, Y)$.

5.3. Definition. Let $(X, p, s), (Y, p', s')$ be ex-spaces over B .

- The pushout of $X \xleftarrow{s} B \xrightarrow{s'} Y$ is denoted by $X \vee_B Y$, the fibrewise wedge of X and Y . It has an naturally induced map $X \vee_B Y \rightarrow X \times_B Y$ over B .
- The pushout of $*_B \leftarrow X \vee_B Y \rightarrow X \times_B Y$ is the smash product $X \wedge_B Y$ in $\mathcal{T}_B$.
- The pullback of $B \xrightarrow{s'} Y \cong \text{Map}_B(B, Y) \xleftarrow{s} \text{Map}_B(X, Y)$ is the mapping space $F_B(X, Y)$ in $\mathcal{T}_B$.

For a pointed space Y , let Y_B denote the ex-space $(Y \times B, p, s)$, where p is the projection onto the second factor and s is the cross section determined by the base point of Y . The *fiberwise loop functor* is then defined as $\Omega_B(X) := F_B(S_B^1, X)$. In, general, for a finite dimensional inner product space V , let S^V denote the one point compactification of V . So we have

$$\Sigma_B^V(X) := X \wedge_B S_B^V, \quad \Omega_B(X) := F_B(S_B^V, X).$$

A map $(X, p, s) \xrightarrow{f} (Y, p', s')$ of ex-spaces over B is called a *q-equivalence* if $X \xrightarrow{f} Y$ is a weak equivalence of spaces (forgetting the ex-space structure). The notion of *qf-fibrations* is defined as maps satisfying the right lifting property with respect to certain inclusions $S_+^n \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{n+1}$ where S_+^n is the closed upper hemisphere of $\mathcal{D}^{n+1}$ (see [MS06, Definition 6.2.3] for details). Since the inclusion of the upper hemisphere

is an acyclic cofibration the condition is satisfied for Serre fibrations. Thus, if the map $X \rightarrow B$ is a Serre fibration then X is qf -fibrant. Here ' f ' refers to fiberwise.

5.4. *Theorem.* *The category $\mathcal{T}_B$ of ex-spaces over B is a well-grounded model category with respect to the q -equivalences, qf -fibrations, and qf -cofibrations.*

To define spectra, we first fix a countable infinite dimensional inner product space $\mathcal{U}$. Following [MS06], we define prespectrum E over B .

5.5. *Definition.* Let B be a fixed base space.

- A *prespectrum* E over B consists of ex-spaces $E(V)$ over B for each finite dimensional subspace V of $\mathcal{U}$, together with maps of ex-spaces, (called structure maps) $\sigma^{V,W} : \Sigma_B^{W-V} E(V) \rightarrow E(W)$ for $V \subseteq W$, such that the following are satisfied:
 - (1) For each finite dimensional $V \subset \mathcal{U}$, $\sigma^{V,V} = id$.
 - (2) For finite dimensional $V, W, Z \subset \mathcal{U}$, $\sigma^{V,Z} = \sigma^{W,Z} \circ \Sigma_B^{Z-W} \sigma^{V,W}$.
- A prespectrum E over B is level qf -fibrant if each $E(V)$ is a qf -fibrant ex-space over B .
- An Ω -prespectrum E over B is defined to be a level qf -fibrant prespectrum over B whose adjoint structure maps $\tilde{\sigma}^{V,W} : E(V) \rightarrow \Omega_B^{W-V} E(W)$ are q -equivalences of ex-spaces over B .

Consider the crossed complex $\chi_\phi(A, n)$ associated to a π -module (A, ϕ) (cf. Section 3.2). Since the classifying space of a crossed complex is the geometric realization of a simplicial set, $\mathfrak{B}(\chi_\phi(A, n))$ is compactly generated and weak Hausdorff.

5.6. *Proposition.* *The classifying space $\mathfrak{B}(\chi_\phi(A, n))$ is an ex-space over $K(\pi, 1) = \mathfrak{B}(\chi(\pi, 1))$ which is qf -fibrant.*

Proof. The map p is obtained by applying $\mathfrak{B}$ to the map $\chi_\phi(A, n) \rightarrow \chi(\pi, 1)$. The map $\chi(\pi, 1) \rightarrow \chi_\phi(A, n)$ for $n \geq 2$ is the identity at level 1 and is the inclusion of the identity at higher levels. For $n = 1$ this is the inclusion of π in $\pi \ltimes A$ at the first level. For $n = 0$ the map takes π to the endomorphisms of the identity in M in the groupoid $Gpd(\pi, M)$. It is clear that the composition $\chi(\pi, 1) \rightarrow \chi_\phi(A, n) \rightarrow \chi(\pi, 1)$ is the identity.

To complete the proof we need to show that $\mathfrak{B}(\chi_\phi(A, n)) \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}(\chi(\pi, 1))$ is a Serre fibration, which in view of the discussion above implies that $\mathfrak{B}(\chi_\phi(A, n))$ is a qf -fibrant ex-space. Since the geometric realization of a Kan fibration is a Serre fibration, it is enough to show that $N^\Delta(\chi_\phi(A, n)) \rightarrow N^\Delta(\chi(\pi, 1))$ is a Kan fibration of simplicial sets, which by ([BH91, Proposition 6.2, p 112]) is equivalent to the condition that $\chi_\phi(A, n) \rightarrow \chi(\pi, 1)$ is a fibration of crossed complexes.

A map between crossed complexes is a fibration if it is a fibration of groupoids on level 1 and surjective on higher levels (see [BG89, Bro08]). This is satisfied by $\chi_\phi(A, n) \rightarrow \chi(\pi, 1)$. This completes the proof of the proposition. $\square$

We will prove that

$$J_\pi A(\mathbb{R}^n) := \mathfrak{B}(\chi_\phi(A, n))$$

defines an Ω -prespectrum over $\mathfrak{B}(\chi(\pi, 1))$ (this defines a spectrum indexed over a cofinal collection of inner product subspaces of $\mathcal{U}$, we can extend it to the entire collection by the formula

$$J_\pi A(V) = \Omega_{K(\pi, 1)}^{\mathbb{R}^n - V} J_\pi A(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

where n is the minimum positive integer for which $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. By the above, $J_\pi A$ is level qf -fibrant on the indexing spaces $\mathbb{R}^n$. It follows that $J_\pi A(V) \rightarrow K(\pi, 1)$ is a qf -fibration since this is equal to $\Omega_{K(\pi, 1)}^{\mathbb{R}^n - V} J_\pi A(\mathbb{R}^n)$ which is written as an iterated pullback, and that fibrations are preserved under pullback.

It remains to construct the structure maps of $J_\pi A(V) \rightarrow \Omega_{K(\pi, 1)}^{W - V} J_\pi A(W)$ and show that they are weak equivalences, and again it suffices to prove this for the cofinal indexing collection $\{\mathbb{R}^n\}$. In this regard, it remains to construct maps $\mathfrak{B}(\chi_\phi(A, n)) \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathfrak{B}(\chi(\pi, 1))} \mathfrak{B}(\chi_\phi(A, n + 1))$ and to show that these are weak equivalences.

We define a construction similar to $F_B(S_B^1, -)$ in the category of crossed complexes whose classifying space is weakly equivalent to $F_B(S_B^1, -)$ as *ex-spaces* over the space B . Fix the model $\chi(\mathbb{Z}, 1) = \Pi(S^1)$ (defined using the CW complex structure of S^1 with one 1-cell and one 0-cell) of S^1 in crossed complexes.

We can form a map $\chi(\pi, 1) \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathcal{CRS}(\chi(\mathbb{Z}, 1), \chi(\pi, 1))$ which maps each point to the corresponding “constant map”; we then define $\mathcal{CRS}_{\chi(\pi, 1)}(\chi(\mathbb{Z}, 1), \chi(\pi, 1))$ to be the pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{CRS}_{\chi(\pi, 1)}(\chi(\mathbb{Z}, 1), \chi_\phi(A, n)) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{CRS}(\chi(\mathbb{Z}, 1), \chi_\phi(A, n)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \chi(\pi, 1) & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \mathcal{CRS}(\chi(\mathbb{Z}, 1), \chi(\pi, 1)) \end{array}$$

Then we further form the pullback to define $F_{\chi(\pi, 1)}^{\mathcal{CRS}}(\chi(\mathbb{Z}, 1), \chi(\pi, 1))$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F_{\chi(\pi, 1)}^{\mathcal{CRS}}(\chi(\mathbb{Z}, 1), \chi_\phi(A, n)) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{CRS}_{\chi(\pi, 1)}(\chi(\mathbb{Z}, 1), \chi_\phi(A, n)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \epsilon \\ \chi(\pi, 1) & \longrightarrow & \chi_\phi(A, n) \end{array}$$

Note that the pullback in the category of crossed complexes is obtained by taking the pullback levelwise, i.e, the pullback P of $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D} \leftarrow \mathcal{C}'$ is described by $P_n = C_n \times_{D_n} C'_n$.

Next we calculate $\mathcal{CRS}(\chi(\mathbb{Z}, 1), \chi_\phi(A, n))$. We use the description of this in terms of m -fold homotopies as described in section 2. For $n \geq 3$

$$\mathcal{CRS}_0(\chi(\mathbb{Z}, 1), \chi_\phi(A, n))_m = \begin{cases} \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \pi) \cong \pi & \text{if } m = 0 \\ \{x \in \pi | g = xfx^{-1}\} & \text{if } m = 1 \\ * & \text{if } m \neq 0, 1, n-1, n \\ \text{Hom}_\pi(\pi, A) \cong A & \text{if } m = n-1 \\ \text{Map}(*, A) & \text{if } m = n \end{cases}$$

For $n = 2$ we have

$$\mathcal{CRS}_0(\chi(\mathbb{Z}, 1), \chi_\phi(A, 2))_m = \begin{cases} \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \pi) \cong \pi & \text{if } m = 0 \\ \{x \in \pi | xfx^{-1} = g\} \times A & \text{if } m = 1 \\ \text{Hom}(*, A) & \text{if } m = 2 \\ * & \text{if } m > 2 \end{cases}$$

and for $n = 1$ we have

$$\mathcal{CRS}_0(\chi(\mathbb{Z}, 1), \chi_\phi(A, 1))_m = \begin{cases} \pi \ltimes A & \text{if } m = 0 \\ \{x \in \pi \ltimes A | xfx^{-1} = g\} & \text{if } m = 1 \\ * & \text{if } m > 1 \end{cases}$$

Next we calculate $\mathcal{CRS}(\chi(\mathbb{Z}, 1), \chi(\pi, 1))$.

$$\mathcal{CRS}_0(\chi(\mathbb{Z}, 1), \chi(\pi, 1))_m = \begin{cases} \pi & \text{if } m = 0 \\ \{x \in \pi | g = xfx^{-1}\} & \text{if } m = 1 \\ * & \text{if } m > 1 \end{cases}$$

We have the following proposition.

5.7. Proposition. *For a π -module (A, ϕ) , $F_{\chi(\pi, 1)}^{\text{crs}}(\chi(\mathbb{Z}, 1), \chi_\phi(A, n)) \cong \chi_\phi(A, n-1)$.*

Proof. To justify the statement of the proposition, we make explicit calculations; considering separately the cases $n \geq 3$, $n = 2$ and $n = 1$.

Case I, $n \geq 3$: We need to compute the map $\iota : \chi(\pi, 1) \rightarrow \mathcal{CRS}(\chi(\mathbb{Z}, 1), \chi(\pi, 1))$: this corresponds to the map which takes a point of $\chi(\mathbb{Z}, 1)$ to the constant map based at a point in $\chi(\pi, 1)$. It can be factored as $\chi(\pi, 1) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{CRS}(*, \chi(\pi, 1)) \rightarrow \mathcal{CRS}(\chi(\mathbb{Z}, 1), \chi(\pi, 1))$. The crossed complex $*$ is the complex which is trivial at each level. The latter map is induced from the map $\chi(\mathbb{Z}, 1) \rightarrow *$.

The map $\iota : \chi(\pi, 1) \rightarrow \mathcal{CRS}(\chi(\mathbb{Z}, 1), \chi(\pi, 1))$ on the zero level takes $*$ to $id \in \pi$, on the 1-level takes π isomorphically to $\pi = \mathcal{CRS}_1(\chi(\mathbb{Z}, 1), \chi(\pi, 1))(*, *)$. So, when we form the pullback we have

$$\mathcal{CRS}_{\chi(\pi,1)}(\chi(\mathbb{Z},1), \chi_\phi(A,n))_m = \begin{cases} * & \text{if } m = 0 \\ \pi & \text{if } m = 1 \\ A & \text{if } m = n-1, n \\ * & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

To form the second pullback we need to calculate the map

$$\epsilon : \mathcal{CRS}_{\chi(\pi,1)}(\chi(\mathbb{Z},1), \chi_\phi(A,n)) \rightarrow \chi_\phi(A,n)$$

given by evaluation at a base-point. The base-point in $\chi(\mathbb{Z},1)$ is given by a map $*$ $\rightarrow \chi(\mathbb{Z},1)$ and the map ϵ is the composite

$$\mathcal{CRS}_{\chi(\pi,1)}(\chi(\mathbb{Z},1), \chi_\phi(A,n)) \rightarrow \mathcal{CRS}_{\chi(\pi,1)}(*, \chi_\phi(A,n)) \cong \chi_\phi(A,n).$$

This map is an isomorphism at the level 1 and n and the trivial map at the other levels. The map $\chi(\pi,1) \rightarrow \chi_\phi(A,n)$ is an isomorphism at level 1 and trivial at other levels. So when we form the pullback the level n part goes away and we get,

$$F_{\chi(\pi,1)}^{\mathcal{CRS}}(\chi(\mathbb{Z},1), \chi_\phi(A,n))_m = \begin{cases} \pi & \text{if } m = 1 \\ A & \text{if } m = n-1 \\ * & \text{if } m \neq 1, n-1 \end{cases}$$

Thus we get $F_{\chi(\pi,1)}^{\mathcal{CRS}}(\chi(\mathbb{Z},1), \chi_\phi(A,n)) \cong \chi_\phi(A, n-1)$ for $n \geq 3$.

Case II, n=2: The map

$$\mathcal{CRS}(\chi(\mathbb{Z},1), \chi_\phi(A,2)) \rightarrow \mathcal{CRS}(\chi(\mathbb{Z},1), \chi(\pi,1))$$

is the trivial map on level i for $i > 1$ and the projection

$$\{x \in \pi | xfx^{-1} = g\} \times A \rightarrow \{x \in \pi | xfx^{-1} = g\}$$

for $i = 1$. Forming the pullback we get,

$$\mathcal{CRS}_{\chi(\pi,1)}(\chi(\mathbb{Z},1), \chi_\phi(A,2))_m = \begin{cases} * & \text{if } m = 0 \\ \pi \ltimes A & \text{if } m = 1 \\ A & \text{if } m = 2 \\ * & \text{if } m > 2 \end{cases}$$

The map

$$\epsilon : \mathcal{CRS}_{\chi(\pi,1)}(\chi(\mathbb{Z},1), \chi_\phi(A,2)) \rightarrow \chi_\phi(A,2)$$

given by evaluation at the basepoint can be calculated similarly as before as an isomorphism on level 2 and the projection $\pi \ltimes A \rightarrow \pi$ on level 1, and trivial on other levels. For the second pullback we get,

$$F_{\chi(\pi,1)}^{\mathcal{CRS}}(\chi(\mathbb{Z},1), \chi_\phi(A,2))_1 = \pi \ltimes A; \quad F_{\chi(\pi,1)}^{\mathcal{CRS}}(\chi(\mathbb{Z},1), \chi_\phi(A,2))_i = * \quad \forall i \neq 1$$

Thus we have proved that $F_{\chi(\pi,1)}^{\mathcal{CRS}}(\chi(\mathbb{Z},1), \chi_\phi(A,2)) \cong \chi_\phi(A,1)$.

Case III, n=1: We fix the notation pr for the projection $\pi \ltimes A \rightarrow \pi$. The map $\mathcal{CRS}(\chi(\mathbb{Z}, 1), \chi_\phi(A, 1)) \rightarrow \mathcal{CRS}(\chi(\mathbb{Z}, 1), \chi(\pi, 1))$ is pr on level 0 and 1 and trivial in higher levels. Forming the pullback we get,

$$\mathcal{CRS}_{\chi(\pi, 1)}(\chi(\mathbb{Z}, 1), \chi_\phi(A, 1))_0 = A$$

The next level is a groupoid pullback:

$$(*, \pi) \rightarrow (\pi, \text{Hom}(f, g) = \{x \in \pi | xfx^{-1} = g\}) \xleftarrow{pr} (\pi \ltimes A, \text{Hom}(f, g)\{x \in \pi \ltimes A | xfx^{-1} = g\}),$$

where in each pair (R, S) R denotes the objects and S morphisms. The groupoid pullback has object set A . The set $\text{Hom}(l_1, l_2)$ is just the pullback of

$$\text{Hom}(*, *) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(*, *) \leftarrow \text{Hom}(*, l_1), (*, l_2)).$$

In the last set we have those elements $x = (a, l)$ (this means $x^{-1} = (a^{-1}, -a^{-1}(l))$) which satisfy $x(*, l_1)x^{-1} = (*, l_2)$, ie, $(*, a^{-1}(l + l_1) - a^{-1}(l)) = (*, l_2)$. This reduces to the equation $a^{-1}(l_1) = l_2$. This means we have

$$\mathcal{CRS}_{\chi(\pi, 1)}(\chi(\mathbb{Z}, 1), \chi_\phi(A, 1))_1(l_1, l_2) = \{a \in \pi | a^{-1}(l_1) = l_2\} \times A$$

and

$$\mathcal{CRS}_{\chi(\pi, 1)}(\chi(\mathbb{Z}, 1), \chi_\phi(A, 2))_i(l) = * \forall i \geq 2.$$

The next step is to calculate the map

$$\epsilon : \mathcal{CRS}_{\chi(\pi, 1)}(\chi(\mathbb{Z}, 1), \chi_\phi(A, 1)) \rightarrow \chi_\phi(A, 1)$$

given by evaluation at the base-point and can be calculated similarly as before. At the 0-level it maps A to $*$. At the 1-level on $\text{Hom}(l_1, l_2)$ is the inclusion

$$\{a \in \pi | a^{-1}(l_1) = l_2\} \times A \rightarrow \pi \ltimes A.$$

At other levels this is the trivial map. So again the pullback becomes a pullback of groupoids (just considering the 0 and 1-levels)

$$(*, \pi) \rightarrow (*, \pi \ltimes A) \leftarrow (A, \text{Hom}(l_1, l_2) = \{a \in \pi | a^{-1}(l_1) = l_2\} \times A).$$

The object set of this pullback is A . In the pullback groupoid the morphisms between l_1 and l_2 are

$$\{(a, (b, l)) | (a, 0) = (b, l) \& b^{-1}(l_1) = l_2\}$$

This forces $a = b$ and $l = 0$. Then we get exactly the same morphisms as those of $Gpd(\pi, A)$. Therefore we obtain

$$F_{\chi(\pi, 1)}^{\mathcal{CRS}}(\chi(\mathbb{Z}, 1), \chi_\phi(A, 1))_m = \begin{cases} A & \text{if } m = 0 \\ Gpd(\pi, A) & \text{if } m = 1 \\ * & \text{if } m > 1 \end{cases}$$

This is precisely the description of $\chi_\phi(A, 0)$. This completes the second part. $\square$

5.8. *Proposition.* For a π -module (A, ϕ) ,

$$\mathfrak{B}F_{\chi(\pi,1)}^{\text{crs}}(\chi(\mathbb{Z}, 1), \chi_\phi(A, n)) \simeq F_{K(\pi,1)}(S_{K(\pi,1)}^1, \mathfrak{B}\chi_\phi(A, n)).$$

Proof. The map $S^1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}\Pi(S^1) \simeq K(\mathbb{Z}, 1)$ is a weak equivalence. Also we know from [BH91] that $\text{Map}(X, \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{C})) \simeq \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{C}RS(\Pi(X), \mathcal{C}))$. Therefore, $\mathfrak{B}CRS(\chi(\mathbb{Z}, 1), \mathcal{D}) \simeq \text{Map}(S^1, \mathfrak{B}\mathcal{D})$. Since the nerve functor is a right adjoint and geometric realization takes pullbacks to pullbacks, the functor $\mathfrak{B}$ takes pullbacks to pullbacks. The proof follows. $\square$

Combining the above propositions, we have the following result.

5.9. *Theorem.* The spaces $\{J_\pi A(V)\}_{V \subset \mathbb{R}^\infty}$ forms an Ω -prespectrum over $K(\pi, 1) = \mathfrak{B}\chi(\pi, 1)$.

Proof. Combining Proposition 5.7 and Proposition 5.6, we see that there is a map

$$\mathfrak{B}\chi_\phi(A, n) \rightarrow \Omega_{K(\pi,1)} \mathfrak{B}\chi_\phi(A, n+1)$$

over $K(\pi, 1) = \mathfrak{B}\chi(\pi, 1)$ which is a q -equivalence. Also it follows from Proposition 5.6, that the spaces $\mathfrak{B}\chi_\phi(A, n)$ are a qf -fibrant ex-space over $K(\pi, 1)$. We have seen how to extend these results from the cofinal collection $\{\mathbb{R}^n\}$ to the collection of all inner product subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^\infty$. Therefore, $\{J_\pi A(V)\}_{V \subset \mathbb{R}^\infty}$ is an Ω -prespectrum. $\square$

We now recall the definition of cohomology theory defined by a parametrized spectrum J over a base space B .

5.10. *Definition.* Let J, E be spectra over B . For integers n , define the n -th J -cohomology groups of E as

$$J^n(E) := \pi_{-n}(r_* F_B(E, J)).$$

For an ex-space X over B , taking $E = \Sigma_B^\infty X$ defines the J -cohomology groups of X determined by the spectrum J .

These cohomology groups can also be written as

$$J^n(E) = [S_B^{-n}, F_B(E, J)]_B \cong [E, F_B(S_B^{-n}, J)]_B \cong [E, \Sigma_B^n J]_B.$$

For a space X , we use the notation $X_{+K(\pi,1)}$ to denote the ex-space $X \coprod K(\pi, 1)$ over $K(\pi, 1)$. We think of this replacement as addition of a disjoint basepoint in the category of spaces over $K(\pi, 1)$. The category $\mathcal{T}op/K(\pi, 1)$ has a terminal object $K(\pi, 1) \xrightarrow{id} K(\pi, 1)$ and the based objects of this category are precisely the ex-spaces. Then the functor $(-)_{{+K(\pi,1)}}$ is the addition of a disjoint basepoint in this setting, that is, it is a left adjoint to the forgetful functor from the category of ex-spaces to the category of spaces over $K(\pi, 1)$.

From this we now show that the cohomology defined by the parametrized spectrum $J_\pi(A)$ is the cohomology with local coefficients. Let (A, ϕ) be a π -module and $(X, \alpha) \in \mathcal{L}_\pi$. The map $\alpha: \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \pi$ defines X as a space over $K(\pi, 1)$ and also gives a local system $(A, \phi\alpha)$ on X . We make X into an ex-space by replacing it with $X_{+K(\pi, 1)}$.

5.11. *Theorem.* For $(X, \alpha) \in \mathcal{L}_\pi$, with notation as above, we have

$$H^n(X; A_{\phi\alpha}) \cong J_\pi A^n(X_{+K(\pi, 1)}).$$

Proof. We have,

$$\begin{aligned} J_\pi A^n(X_{+K(\pi, 1)}) &= J_\pi A^n(\Sigma_{K(\pi, 1)}^\infty X_{+K(\pi, 1)}) \\ &= [\Sigma_{K(\pi, 1)}^\infty X_{+K(\pi, 1)}, \Sigma_{K(\pi, 1)}^n J_\pi A]_{K(\pi, 1)} \\ &= [X_{+K(\pi, 1)}, \Omega_{K(\pi, 1)}^\infty \Sigma_{K(\pi, 1)}^n J_\pi A]_{K(\pi, 1)} \\ &= [X_{+K(\pi, 1)}, \mathfrak{B}(\chi_\phi(A, n))]_{K(\pi, 1)}. \end{aligned}$$

The latter is the group obtained by taking all the maps from X to $\mathfrak{B}(\chi_\phi(A, n))$ modulo homotopy which reduces to the identity when composing to $K(\pi, 1)$, i.e, the vertical homotopy as in Definition 3.5. If X is a CW-complex, via the homotopy adjunction between Π and $\mathfrak{B}$ this is exactly $[\Pi(X), \chi_\phi(A, n)]_{\chi(\pi, 1)}^{\text{crs}}$ that we used earlier. $\square$

6. REPRESENTATION AS A PARAMETERIZED SPECTRUM IN THE EQUIVARIANT CASE

We have observed in Section 4 that, for an $\mathcal{O}_G$ -group $\underline{\pi}$ and an $\underline{\pi}$ -module $\mathcal{M} = (M, \phi)$, we can construct $\mathcal{O}_G$ -crossed complexes $\chi_G(\mathcal{M}; n)$ over the $\mathcal{O}_G$ -crossed complex $\chi_G(\underline{\pi}, 1)$. By applying the classifying space functor for each n we get an “ex-functor” from $\mathcal{O}_G^{\text{op}}$ to the ex-category of spaces, that is at each n and each subgroup H we have an ex-space $\mathfrak{B}_{\chi_{\phi(G/H)}}(M(G/H), n) \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}_{\chi(\underline{\pi}(G/H), 1)}$ which is a contravariant functor into an appropriate diagram category. We define the category $\mathcal{E}$ as the category with two objects s, t and the morphisms generated by $i: s \rightarrow t$ and $p: t \rightarrow s$ such that $p \circ i$ is the identity. Then we call the diagram category $\mathcal{Top}^\mathcal{E}$ the “ex-category” of spaces, and by an “ex-functor” we mean a functor $\mathcal{O}_G^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{Top}^\mathcal{E}$. In this terminology, we have the ex-functor $\mathcal{E}_n^\mathcal{M}: \mathcal{O}_G^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{Top}^\mathcal{E}$ for each $n \geq 0$, which associates G/H to the ex-space $\mathfrak{B}_{\chi_{\phi(G/H)}}(M(G/H), n)$ over $\mathfrak{B}_{\chi(\underline{\pi}(G/H), 1)}$.

In the previous section we have observed that for a fixed $H \leq G$, the ex-spaces $\{\mathcal{E}_n^\mathcal{M}(G/H)\}_{n \geq 0}$ form a parametrized Ω -prespectrum over the Eilenberg-Mac Lane space $\mathfrak{B}_{\chi(\underline{\pi}(G/H), 1)} = K(\underline{\pi}(G/H), 1)$. In this section we prove that these parametrized Ω -prespectra for different subgroups H are the fixed point spectra of a parametrized G - Ω -prespectrum $J_G \mathcal{M}$ over the Eilenberg-Mac Lane G -space $K_G(\underline{\pi}, 1) = \Psi \mathfrak{B}_{\chi_G(\underline{\pi}, 1)}$ indexed over a trivial G -universe (that is, a naive parametrized G - Ω -prespectrum). Here $\Psi: \mathcal{O}_G\text{-}\mathcal{Top} \rightarrow G\text{-}\mathcal{Top}$ is the Elmendorf’s

functor (cf. [Elm83]), right adjoint to the functor Φ . Below we will provide an explicit description of this functor. Notice that since we are considering cohomology theories from arbitrary coefficient systems, we will not have the required transfer maps to form a spectrum indexed over a complete G -universe.

For each n we apply Elmendorf's functor Ψ to $\mathcal{E}_n^{\mathcal{M}}$ to obtain an ex- G -space $K_G(\mathcal{M}, n) \rightarrow K_G(\underline{\pi}, 1)$. We will show that the ex- G -spaces form a G - Ω -prespectrum $J_G\mathcal{M}$ indexed on a trivial G -universe. For this we have to check that the prespectrum is level- qf -fibrant and that the structure maps $J_G\mathcal{M}_n \rightarrow \Omega_{K_G(\underline{\pi}, 1)} J_G\mathcal{M}_{n+1}$ are q -equivalences of total spaces.

The latter fact is equivalent to the fact that for every subgroup H , $\pi_*^H J_G\mathcal{M}_n \rightarrow \pi_*^H \Omega_{K_G(\underline{\pi}, 1)} J_G\mathcal{M}_{n+1}$ is an equivalence. That is,

$$\pi_* J_G\mathcal{M}_n^H \cong \pi_* \Omega_{K(\underline{\pi}(G/H), 1)} J_G\mathcal{M}_{n+1}^H.$$

We use $J_G\mathcal{M}_n^H \simeq \mathcal{E}_n^{\mathcal{M}}(G/H)$ from the definition of Elmendorf's construction, so the result follows from the fact that $\mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{M}}(G/H)$ is a parametrized spectrum.

To show that the prespectrum is level qf -fibrant, we need to check that $J_G\mathcal{M}_n \rightarrow K_G(\underline{\pi}, 1)$ is a qf -fibrant ex- G -space. From [MS06, Chapter 7] we know that this is true if $\text{Map}_G(U, J_G(\mathcal{M})_n) \rightarrow \text{Map}_G(U, K_G(\underline{\pi}, 1))$ is qf -fibrant non equivariantly for every G -CW complex U . Since the G -cells are of the form $G/H \times \mathcal{D}^n$ it suffices to check that $J_G(\mathcal{M})_n^H \rightarrow K_G(\underline{\pi}, 1)^H$ is a Serre fibration and so a qf -fibration.

We prove the last statement by writing down an explicit model for Ψ such that the last map is the geometric realization of a Kan fibration. We write $\mathcal{E}(\Delta)_n^{\mathcal{M}}(G/H)$ for the ex-simplicial set $N^\Delta \chi_{\phi(G/H)}(M(G/H), n) \rightarrow N^\Delta \chi(\underline{\pi}(G/H), 1)$ such that $\|\mathcal{E}(\Delta)_n^{\mathcal{M}}\| = \mathcal{E}_n^{\mathcal{M}}$. We have seen in the previous section that this is a Kan fibration. Define the functor $\Psi = \|\Psi^\Delta\|$ as follows: (following [May96]) for a functor $T: \mathcal{O}_G^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$, let $\Psi^\Delta T$ be the simplicial set with k -simplices as

$$\Psi^\Delta T_k := \{(t, f, s) | f = d_0 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow d_k \in N_k(\mathcal{O}_G), t \in T(d_0)_k, s \in d_k\}.$$

Here $T(d_0)_k$ denotes the set of k -simplices of the simplicial set $T(d_0)$. The face and degeneracy maps are defined in the standard way. One way of doing this more naturally (using the notation of [May96]) is to construct a bisimplicial set $(k, l) \mapsto B_l(T_k, \mathcal{O}_G, \iota)$ where ι is the inclusion functor $\mathcal{O}_G \hookrightarrow G\text{-}\mathcal{T}op$ and T_k is the functor $\mathcal{O}_G^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}et$ obtained by composing T with the functor $\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}et$ that takes a simplicial set to the set of its k -simplices. Then $\Psi^\Delta T$ is the diagonal simplicial set $\text{diag } B_\bullet(T_\bullet, \mathcal{O}_G, \iota)$. From this it is also clear that we retain the desired homotopy information. We define

$$J_G\mathcal{M}_n = \Psi \mathcal{E}(\Delta)_n^{\mathcal{M}}, \quad n \geq 0,$$

as an ex- G -space over $K_G(\underline{\pi}, 1) = \Psi N^\Delta \chi_G(\underline{\pi}, 1)$.

First we have the proposition which follows easily from the explicit description of Kan fibration of simplicial sets (see [May67]). Recall that we have more general two sided bar construction $(k, l) \mapsto B_l(T_k, \mathcal{O}_G, S)$ for functors $T: \mathcal{O}_G^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ and $S: \mathcal{O}_G \rightarrow G\text{-Set}$.

6.1. *Proposition.* Suppose $T, T': \mathcal{O}_G^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ and $S: \mathcal{O}_G \rightarrow G\text{-Set}$ are functors. If $T \rightarrow T'$ is a natural transformation which is Kan fibration for each $G/H \in \mathcal{O}_G$, then the induced map $\text{diag } B_\bullet(T_\bullet, \mathcal{O}_G, S) \rightarrow \text{diag } B_\bullet(T'_\bullet, \mathcal{O}_G, S)$ is also a Kan fibration.

We now use this Proposition to show that $J_G(\mathcal{M})_n^H \rightarrow K_G(\underline{\pi}, 1)^H$ is a Serre fibration for each $H \leq G$ and hence proving $J_G(\mathcal{M})$ is a parametrized G - Ω -prespectrum over $K_G(\underline{\pi}, 1)$. Since $B(T, \mathcal{O}_G, S)^H = B(T, \mathcal{O}_G, S^H)$, we have

$$J_G(\mathcal{M})_n^H = \|\text{diag } B_\bullet(\mathcal{E}(\Delta)_n^{\mathcal{M}}, \mathcal{O}_G, \iota^H)\|$$

and

$$K_G(\underline{\pi}, 1)^H = \|\text{diag } B_\bullet(N^\Delta \chi_G(\underline{\pi}, 1)_\bullet, \mathcal{O}_G, \iota^H)\|.$$

Since the natural map $\mathcal{E}(\Delta)_n^{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow N^\Delta \chi_G(\underline{\pi}, 1)$ is Kan fibration for each $G/H \in \mathcal{O}_G$, the induced map $B(\mathcal{E}(\Delta)_n^{\mathcal{M}}, \mathcal{O}_G, \iota^H) \rightarrow B(N^\Delta \chi_G(\underline{\pi}, 1), \mathcal{O}_G, \iota^H)$ is a Kan fibration by Proposition 6.1. Therefore it follows that $J_G(\mathcal{M})_n^H \rightarrow K_G(\underline{\pi}, 1)^H$ is a Serre fibration, and thus $J_G(\mathcal{M})$ is a parametrized G - Ω -prespectrum over $K_G(\underline{\pi}, 1)$.

6.2. *Definition.* For naive G -spectra J and E over B , define the J -cohomology groups of E by $J_G^n(E) := \pi_{-n}^G(r_* F_B(E, J))$

This can be rewritten as

$$J_G^n(E) = [S_B^{-n}, F_B(E, J)]_{G,B} \cong [E, F_B(S_B^{-n}, J)]_{G,B} \cong [E, \Sigma_B^n J]_{G,B}.$$

We now show that Bredon cohomology with local coefficients can be described as the cohomology theory defined by the naive G -spectrum $J_G \mathcal{M}$. As in the non-equivariant case, we fix an $\underline{\pi}$ -module $\mathcal{M} = (M, \phi)$. If $(X, \underline{\alpha}) \in \mathcal{L}_{\underline{\pi}}$, then the map $\underline{\alpha}: \underline{\pi}X \rightarrow \underline{\pi}$ defines X as a space over $K_G(\underline{\pi}, 1)$ and also gives an equivariant local coefficients system $(M, \phi_{\underline{\alpha}})$ on X . We make X into an ex- G -space by adding a disjoint basepoint, $X_{+K_G(\underline{\pi}, 1)} = X \amalg K_G(\underline{\pi}, 1)$.

6.3. *Theorem.* For $(X, \underline{\alpha}) \in \mathcal{L}_{\underline{\pi}}$, with notation as above,

$$H_G^n(X; M_{\phi\alpha}) \cong J_G \mathcal{M}^n(X_{+K_G(\underline{\pi}, 1)}).$$

Proof. By definition of the cohomology theory defined by the parametrized G -spectrum $J_G\mathcal{M}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_G\mathcal{M}^n(\Sigma_{K_G(\underline{\mathbb{T}},1)}^\infty X_{+K_G(\underline{\mathbb{T}},1)}) &= [\Sigma_{K_G(\underline{\mathbb{T}},1)}^\infty X_{+K_G(\underline{\mathbb{T}},1)}, \Sigma_{K_G(\underline{\mathbb{T}},1)}^n J_G\mathcal{M}]_{G,K_G(\underline{\mathbb{T}},1)} \\
 &= [X_{+K_G(\underline{\mathbb{T}},1)}, \Omega_{K_G(\underline{\mathbb{T}},1)}^\infty \Sigma_{K_G(\underline{\mathbb{T}},1)}^n J_G\mathcal{M}]_{G,K_G(\underline{\mathbb{T}},1)} \\
 &= [X_{+K_G(\underline{\mathbb{T}},1)}, J_G\mathcal{M}_n]_{G,K_G(\underline{\mathbb{T}},1)} \\
 &= [X_{+K_G(\underline{\mathbb{T}},1)}, \Psi\mathcal{E}_n^{\mathcal{M}}]_{G,K_G(\underline{\mathbb{T}},1)} \\
 &= [\Phi X_{+\Phi K(\underline{\mathbb{T}}(G/H),1)}, \mathcal{E}_n^{\mathcal{M}}]_{\Phi K_G(\underline{\mathbb{T}},1)}^{\mathcal{O}_G} \\
 &= [\Pi_G(X), \chi_G(\mathcal{M}, n)]_{\chi_G(\underline{\mathbb{T}},1)}^{\mathcal{O}_G - \text{Crs}},
 \end{aligned}$$

The last equality follows from the adjunction of $\mathfrak{B}$ and Π . We have verified the latter expression to be the equivariant cohomology with local coefficients as defined in [MS10]. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [BFGM03] M. Bullejos, E. Faro, and M. A. García-Muñoz, *Homotopy colimits and cohomology with local coefficients*, Cah. Topol. Géom. Différ. Catég. **44** (2003), no. 1, 63–80. MR MR1961526 (2003j:18017)
- [BG89] Ronald Brown and Marek Golasinski, *A model structure for the homotopy theory of crossed complexes*, Cahiers Topologie Géom. Différentielle Catég. **30** (1989), no. 1, 61–82. MR 1000831 (90f:18012)
- [BGPT97] R. Brown, M. Golasinski, T. Porter, and A. Tonks, *Spaces of maps into classifying spaces for equivariant crossed complexes*, Indag. Math. (N.S.) **8** (1997), no. 2, 157–172. MR 1621979 (99j:18015)
- [BGPT01] ———, *Spaces of maps into classifying spaces for equivariant crossed complexes. II. The general topological group case*, -TheoryK **23** (2001), no. 2, 129–155. MR 1857078 (2002j:18015)
- [BH78] Ronald Brown and Philip J. Higgins, *Sur les complexes croisés d’homotopie associés à quelques espaces filtrés*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B **286** (1978), no. 2, A91–A93. MR 483870 (82a:55016)
- [BH91] ———, *The classifying space of a crossed complex*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **110** (1991), no. 1, 95–120. MR 1104605 (92b:55024)
- [BHS11] Ronald Brown, Philip J. Higgins, and Rafael Sivera, *Nonabelian algebraic topology*, EMS Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 15, European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2011, Filtered spaces, crossed complexes, cubical homotopy groupoids, With contributions by Christopher D. Wensley and Sergei V. Soloviev. MR 2841564
- [Bre67] Glen E. Bredon, *Equivariant cohomology theories*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, No. 34, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1967. MR MR0214062 (35 #4914)
- [Bro08] Ronald Brown, *Exact sequences of fibrations of crossed complexes, homotopy classification of maps, and nonabelian extensions of groups*, J. Homotopy Relat. Struct. **3** (2008), no. 1, 331–342. MR 2426184 (2009e:18024)

- [Elm83] A. D. Elmendorf, *Systems of fixed point sets*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **277** (1983), no. 1, 275–284. MR MR690052 (84f:57029)
- [Git63] Samuel Gitler, *Cohomology operations with local coefficients*, Amer. J. Math. **85** (1963), 156–188. MR MR0158398 (28 #1621)
- [GJ99] Paul G. Goerss and John F. Jardine, *Simplicial homotopy theory*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 174, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1999. MR MR1711612 (2001d:55012)
- [Hir79] Yasumasa Hirashima, *A note on cohomology with local coefficients*, Osaka J. Math. **16** (1979), no. 1, 219–231. MR MR527027 (80f:55008)
- [Lüc89] Wolfgang Lück, *Transformation groups and algebraic K-theory*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1408, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989, Mathematica Gottingensis. MR MR1027600 (91g:57036)
- [May67] J. Peter May, *Simplicial objects in algebraic topology*, Van Nostrand Mathematical Studies, No. 11, D. Van Nostrand Co., Inc., Princeton, N.J.-Toronto, Ont.-London, 1967. MR MR0222892 (36 #5942)
- [May96] J. P. May, *Equivariant homotopy and cohomology theory*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, vol. 91, Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC, 1996, With contributions by M. Cole, G. Comezana, S. Costenoble, A. D. Elmendorf, J. P. C. Greenlees, L. G. Lewis, Jr., R. J. Piacenza, G. Triantafillou, and S. Waner. MR 1413302 (97k:55016)
- [MM96] Amiya Mukherjee and Goutam Mukherjee, *Bredon-Illman cohomology with local coefficients*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) **47** (1996), no. 186, 199–219. MR MR1397938 (98m:55008)
- [MS06] J. P. May and J. Sigurdsson, *Parametrized homotopy theory*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 132, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2006. MR 2271789 (2007k:55012)
- [MS10] Goutam Mukherjee and Debasis Sen, *Equivariant simplicial cohomology with local coefficients and its classification*, Topology Appl. **157** (2010), no. 6, 1015–1032. MR 2593715 (2011f:55037)
- [Qui67] Daniel G. Quillen, *Homotopical algebra*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, No. 43, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1967. MR MR0223432 (36 #6480)
- [tD87] Tarmo tom Dieck, *Transformation groups*, de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics, vol. 8, Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1987. MR 889050 (89c:57048)
- [Whi49] J. H. C. Whitehead, *Combinatorial homotopy. II*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **55** (1949), 453–496. MR 0030760 (11,48c)
- [Whi78] George W. Whitehead, *Elements of homotopy theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 61, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1978. MR 516508 (80b:55001)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, VIVEKANANDA UNIVERSITY, BELUR, KOLKATA

E-mail address: samik.basu2@gmail.com; samik@rkmvu.ac.in

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF HAIFA, 31905 HAIFA, ISRAEL

E-mail address: sen_deba@math.haifa.ac.il